The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

APPENDIX A

JOINT DISPUTED CLAIM LANGUAGE CHART

Disputed Claim Term or Phrase	Plaintiffs' Proposed Construction	Plaintiffs' Citation to Intrinsic Evidence	Plaintiffs' Citation to Extrinsic Evidence	Defendant's Proposed Construction	Defendant's Citation to Intrinsic Evidence	Defendant's Citation to Extrinsic Evidence
'984 Patent						
a record associated with purchases made by the user, the	a record associated with fuel or nonfuel purchases made by the user,	'984 Patent: Col 2, ln 29-32, 37-46; Col 3, ln 28-30; Col 8, ln		a record associated with fuel and nonfuel purchases made by the user,	.984 Patent: 1:59-67; 2:28- 35; 4:13-24;	
record including the users achievement of a purchasing criteria	the record including a determination that the user is entitled to a discounted	23-25; Col. 9, ln 23-64; Col 10, ln 1-4; Col 10, ln 13-31; Col 10, ln 53-59; Col 11, ln		the record including the users achievement of a purchasing criteria	6:65 – 7:3; 9:31-67; 10:8- 31; 10:42-67; 11:40-51.	
(claim 1 and claim 14)	unit price based on a comparison of the user's purchases to predefined purchasing criteria	40-47; Col 12, ln 1-6; Col 12, ln 46-47; Fig 9 (ref # 208); Fig. 9 (ref # 310, 314); Fig. 10 (ref # 403, 404).				
		'984 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated 1/10/2000 (EXCK-0000196-				

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

		'128 Patent: 1:60-67; 2:40- 57; 3:1-6; 3:58- 62; 4:19-20: 5:21-24; 8:62- 64.
		Other than "discount" (defined below), No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed: awarding a first representation of reduction on the PPU of the consumable good to the customer in response to a purchase by the customer of a first cross-marketed product
		'942 Patent: Fig 4 (ref # 45); Col 6, In 5-27 '942 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 19, 2009 (EXCK-0002543)
EXCK-0000197)		128 Patent: Col 1, ln 60-67; Col 3, ln 55-67; Col 4, ln 15-32; Col 5, ln 21-26; Col 6, ln 29-31, 57-61; Col 7, ln 21-26; Col 8, ln 21-26; Col 9, ln 46-48; Col 10, ln 47-48; Col 10, ln 47-48; Col 12, ln 19-20; Fig. 1 (ref # 11, 21, 22, 14) Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/093813 (EXCK-0003848-3851) 128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 26, 2001 (EXCK-0000432); Amendment Amendment Colono432); Amendment Colono432); Colono4320; Colono43
		awarding a first product-specific reduction in a specified monetary amount on the price per unit of the consumable good to the customer in exchange for a purchase by the customer of a first predetermined product being marketed
	'128 Patent	awarding a first discount on the PPU of the consumable good to the customer in response to a purchase by the customer of a first crossmarketed product (claim 1)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	'128 Patent: Fig. 2, Field 5, Field 7; 1:48; 1:66-67; 5:55- 6:2.
	representation of reduction
	Fig 4 (ref # 45); Col 6, In 5-27 Amendment dated Feb. 19, 2009 (EXCK-0002543)
dated July 19, 2001 (EXCK- 0000470, 0000477)	128 Patent: Col 1, ln 60-67; Col 3, ln 55-67; Col 4, ln 15-32; Col 4, ln 15-32; Col 5, ln 21-26; Col 6, ln 29-31, 57-61; Col 7, ln 21-26; Col 8, ln 5-7; Col 9, ln 46- 48; Col 10, ln 47- 48; Col 10, ln 47- 48; Col 12, ln 19- 20; Fig. 1 (ref # 11, 21, 22, 14) Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/093813 (EXCK-0003848- 3851) '128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 26, 2001 (EXCK-
	Should not be construed outside the context of the surrounding claim language. The proper construction in context is: reduction in a specified monetary amount
	discount (claim 1 and claim 20)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	Other than "discount" (defined above), No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed please see above.
	'942 Patent: Fig 4 (ref # 45); Col 6, ln 5-27 '942 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 19, 2009 (EXCK-0002543)
0000424-427, 0000432); Amendment dated July 19, 2001 (EXCK- 0000470,	128 Patent: Col 1, ln 60- col 2, ln 2; Col 3, ln 55-67; Col 4, ln 6-32; Col 5, ln 21-26; Col 6, ln 29-31, 57-61; Col 7, ln 21-26; Col 8, ln 5-7; Col 9, ln 46-48; Col 10, ln 47-48; Col 12, ln 19-20; Fig. 1 (ref # 11, 21, 22, 14) Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/093813 (EXCK-0003848- 3851) 128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment
	(same as construction above except substitute "second" for "first")
	awarding a second discount on the PPU of the consumable good to the customer in response to a purchase by the customer of a second cross- marketed product (claim 1)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	'128 Patent: Fig 2, Field 1a. Field 1b; 4:35- 37; 5:48-50. '128 Patent File Wrapper: February 26, 2001 Amendment, at 11.	'128 Patent: Fig. 2, Field 5, Field 7; 1:48; 1:66-67; 4:40- 65; 5:20-32; 5:55-6:2; 9:15-
	No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed: merchant that is different than the first merchant	No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed: coupon that
dated Feb. 26, 2001 (EXCK- 0000424-427, 0000432); Amendment dated July 19, 2001 (EXCK- 0000470,	'128 Patent: Col 4, ln 33-37 '128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 26, 2001 (EXCK- 0000424); Restriction Requirement dated Jan. 3, 2001 (EXCK-0000389)	Vrapper: Affidavit dated Feb. 22, 2001 (EXCK-0000412)
	merchant that is distinct and independent from the first merchant	coupon that includes both a unique number that identifies a particular customer and a unique number
	second merchant (claim 2)	coupon providing a unique customer identification and a unique discount

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

17.	Fig. 1 (ref #14); Fig. 2, Field 5, Field 7; 1:48; 1:66-67; 2:54-61; 3:12-16; 4:40-65; 5:20-32; 5:55-6:2; 9:13-20: 9:18-20.
identifies a customer and a representation of reduction associated with that customer	No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed: storing a representation of reduction in a database which associates the total representation of reduction with the customer and a representation of reduction associated with the customer and a
Amendment dated Feb. 26, 2001 (EXCK-0000426-0000428) 128 Patent: Col 5, ln 26-54; Col 7, ln 8-13; Fig 2 (field # 1, 1a, 1b, 1c)	Fig 1 (ref # 22, 14); Col 5, ln 26-29, 37-59; Col 6, ln 15-21; Fig 2 (field # 1, 1a, 1b, 1c, 5); Col 7, ln 8-12; Col 8, ln 17-21 Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/093813: (EXCK-0003849)
that identifies a specific discount awarded to the particular customer	storing the total discount in a database that matches, for later redemption, the total discount with the unique customer identification and discount identification
identification (claim 4 and claim 23)	storing the total discount in a discount in a discounts issued database which associates the total discount with the unique customer identification and discount identification (claim 4)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

'128 Patent: Fig. 1 (ref #14); Fig. 2, Field 5, Field 7; 1:48; 1:66-67; 2:54- 61; 3:12-16; 4:40-65; 5:20- 32; 5:55-6:2; 9:13-20: 9:18- 20.	128 Patent: 5:21-24; Fig. 1.
No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed: database for storing representation of reduction information	The claimed function is "calculating a first representation of reduction on the PPU of the consumable good in response to a purchase by a customer of a first cross-marketed product." The structure for performing the function is a point of sale terminal and any
	'942 Patent: Fig 4 (ref # 45); Col 6, ln 5-27 '942 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 19, 2009 (EXCK-0002543)
128 Patent: Fig 1 (ref # 14); Fig 2 (field # 1, 1c, 5, 9, 10); Fig 3A (ref # 44); Fig 3C (ref # 44); Fig 3C (ref # 75); Col 2, ln 58-65; Col 3, ln 12-21; Col 5, ln 26-29; Col 6, ln 20-21, 57-61 Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/093813: (EXCK-0003848-0003851)	Fig 1 (ref #11, 21); Col 5, ln 21-26; Col 1, ln 60-67; Col 3, ln 55-67; Col 4, ln 15-32; Col 6, ln 29-31, 57-61; Col 7, ln 21-26; Col 8, ln 5-7; Col 9, ln 46-48; Col 10, ln 47-48; Col 12, ln 19-20 Provisional Patent Application Serial
a database for storing reductions in specified monetary amounts issued to customers for later redemption	an in-store point of sale controller that operates in a first transaction to award a first product-specific reduction in a specified monetary amount on the price per unit of the consumable good to the customer in exchange for a purchase by the customer of a first predetermined
a discounts issued database for storing discounts (claim 20)	means for calculating a first discount on the PPU of the consumable good in response to a purchase by a customer of a first crossmarketed product (claim 20)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	<u>'128 Patent</u> : 5:21-24; Fig. 1.
equivalents.	The claimed function is "calculating a second representation of reduction on the PPU of the consumable good in response to a purchase by the customer of a second cross-marketed product. The structure for
	942 Patent: Fig 4 (ref # 45); Col 6, ln 5-27 942 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 19, 2009 (EXCK- 0002543)
No. 60/093813 (EXCK-0003848-3851) 128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 26, 2001 (EXCK- 0000424-427, 0000432-433); Amendment dated July 19, 2001 (EXCK- 0000473-474, 0000477)	Fig 1 (ref #11, 21); Col 5, ln 21-26; Col 1, ln 60-67; Col 3, ln 55-67; Col 4, ln 15-32; Col 6, ln 29-31, 57-61; Col 7, ln 21-26; Col 8, ln 5-7; Col 9, ln 46-48; Col 10, ln 47-48; Col 12, ln
product being marketed and then adds the first product-specific reduction to another reduction amount	(same as immediately preceding construction above except substitute "second" for "first")
	means for calculating a second discount on the PPU of the consumable good in response to a purchase by the customer of a second crossmarketed product (claim 20)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	.128 Patent: 6:22 – 24; Fig. 1.
performing the function is a point of sale terminal and any equivalents.	The claimed function is "adding a first representation of reduction from a first merchant to a second representation of reduction from a second merchant." The structure for performing the
19-20 Provisional Patent Application Serial No. 60/093813 (EXCK-0003848-3851) '128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 26, 2001 (EXCK- 0000424-427, 0000432-433); Amendment dated July 19, 2001 (EXCK- 0000473-474, 0000473-474,	128 Patent: Fig 1 (ref # 13, 26, 28, and arrow from 28 to 24); Fig 3A (ref # 43, 44, 45); Col 4, ln 33-39; Col 5, ln 16-20; Col 6, ln 20-21, 33-36; Col 7, ln 8-21;
	a database processor that executes an algorithm that retrieves from computer memory and then adds together a first reduction in a specified monetary amount from a
	means for adding a first discount from a first merchant to a second discount from a second merchant (claim 21)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	'128 Patent: Fig. 1; 2:17-19; 6:20-36.
function is a controller and any equivalents.	The claimed function is "transmitting the unique customer identification and the representation of reduction identification from the POS terminal to the database." The structure for performing the function is a network and any equivalents.
'128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Feb. 26, 2001 (EXCK- 0000424, 0000433-434) Restriction Requirement dated Jan. 3, 2001 (EXCK-0000389)	'128 Patent: Col 5, ln 37-40; Fig 1 (ref # 22)
a second reduction in a specified monetary amount from another merchant that is distinct and independent from the first merchant	a discount identification record sent from the in-store point of sale terminal to the discounts issued database, the record including both the unique number that identifies the particular customer and the unique number that identifies the specific discount awarded to the particular customer
	transmission means for transmitting the unique customer identification and the discount identification from the POS terminal to the discounts issued database (claim 23)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

'204 Patent						
detecting that the	determining by a	'204 Patent:		No Construction	'204 Patent:	
customer customer	companison coorstics that the	Col 6 In 51-58.		Nequilled - I faili	1.42-45: 1.60-	
purchased a	operation mar me customer	Col 9, ln 21-33,		allu Olullialy Meaning	64; 6:62 – 7:2;	
fuel products or	da	36-49, 58-62; Col		0	11:22-49.	
services in a first		10, ln 1-10, 41-		If construed:		
visit to the store	threshold quantity	47; Fig 9 (ref#		determining that		
	of non-fuel	306, 308, 310,		the customer		
(claim 1)	products or	318, 320)		purchased non-fuel		
	services in a first			products or		
	visit to the store			services in a first		
				visit to the store		
providing the	providing to the	'204 Patent:	Webster's New	No Construction	'204 Patent:	
customer with a	customer, upon		World	Required – Plain		
first reward	detection that the	Col 6, ln 51-col 7,	Dictionary,	and Ordinary	1:45-53; 1:64 –	
entitling the	customer	In 2; Col 9, In 30-	Third College	Meaning	2.4; $6.52 - 7.2$;	
customer to a	purchased the	31, 40-43, 46-49;	Edition –"free"		8:25-27; 9:18-	
first amount of	predefined	Col 10, ln 41-55;	means at no	If construed:	55; 9:63 –	
free fuel in	threshold quantity	Fig 9 (ref # 306,	charge or cost	providing the	10:19; 10:29 -	
exchange for	of non-fuel	308, 318, 320)		customer with a	55; 11:22-49.	
purchasing the	products or	(see transaction	128 Patent:	first reward		
non-fuel	services during the	dated 1/17/99)	1 1 1 2	entitling the		
products or	first store visit, a		Col 1, In 34-53	customer to some		
services in the	first reward		1100 Defect	fuel at no charge in		
first visit	entitling the		File Wash	exchange for		
	customer to		riie wiappei.	purchasing the		
(claim 1)	receive a first		Amendment	non-fuel products		
	defined amount of		dated	or services in the		
	fuel at no charge to		February 2001	first visit		
	the customer,		(EXCK-			
	rather than at a					

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	(see previous "detecting" limitation in claim 1 of the '204 Patent)	(see previous "providing" limitation in claim 1 of the '204 Patent)
0000426-427, 0000432-433)		Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition – "free" means at no charge or cost 128 Patent: Col 1, ln 34-53 128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated February, 2001 (EXCK-
	204 Patent: Col 6, ln 51-61; Col 9, ln 21-33, 36-49, 58-62; Col 10, ln 1-10, 41- 47; Fig 9 (ref # 306, 308, 310, 318, 320)	204 Patent: Col 6, ln 51-col 7, ln 2; Col 9, ln 30-31, 40-43, 46-49; Col 10, ln 41-55; Fig 9 (ref # 306, 308, 318, 320) (see transaction dated 1/17/99);
reduced unit price	(see previous "detecting" limitation in claim 1 of '204 patent above but replace "first" with "second")	(see previous "providing" limitation in claim 1 of the '204 patent above but replace "first" with "second")
	detecting that the customer purchased a number of nonfuel products or services in a second visit to the store (claim 1)	providing the customer with a second reward entitling the customer to a second amount of free fuel in exchange for purchasing the non-fuel products or services in the second visit (claim 1)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	204 Patent: 3:25 – 4:11; 5:51 – 6:2; 6:62 – 7:2; 8:38–50; 10:63 – 11:1.	'204 Patent: Fig. 10; 3:25 – 4:11; 5:51 – 6:2; 6:40 – 7:17; 8:38-50; 10:63 – 11:1.	'204 Patent:
	Other than "dispensing an amount of free fuel" (see below), No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning	providing some fuel at no charge	No Construction Required – Plain
0000426-427, 0000432-433)	'128 Patent: Col 1, ln 34-53 '128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated February, 2001 (EXCK- 0000426-427)	'128 Patent: Col 1, ln 34-53 '128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated February, 2001 (EXCK-0000426-427)	
	'204 Patent: Col 6, ln 51-64 '204 Patent File Wrapper: Notice of Allowance (EXCK-0001366)	204 Patent: Col 6, ln 51-64 204 Patent File Wrapper: Notice of Allowance (EXCK-0001366)	'204 Patent:
	dispensing at no charge to the customer, rather than at a reduced unit price, a total amount of fuel equal to the sum of the first and second amounts previously awarded to the customer	dispensing at no charge to the customer, rather than at a reduced unit price, an amount of fuel	storing in a rewards database,
	dispensing an amount of free fuel to the customer equal to the total of the first and second amounts of free fuel (claim 1)	dispensing an amount of free fuel (claim 1 and claim 7)	storing the first and second

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

4:1-12; 8:7-20; 10:29-40.	.204 Patent: 6:51 – 7:2.	.204 Patent: 3:57-64; 1:60- 64; 10:29–40.
and Ordinary Meaning If construed: storing the rewards in a database	No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed: dispensing more fuel to the customer	The function is "detecting that the customer purchased a number of nonfuel products or services in a first visit to the store, and that the customer
Col 2, ln 27-49; Fig 9 (ref # 314; Col 9, ln 11-13, 33-36	'204 Patent: Col 6, ln 51-col 7, ln 2	204 Patent: Fig 8 (ref # 201, 202, 204, 206); Col 7, ln 60 – col 8, ln 20; Fig 9 (ref # 306, 308); Col 9, 11-39; Col 10, ln 29-47
for later redemption and as separately identifiable values, both the first defined amount of free fuel awarded to the customer and the second defined amount of free fuel awarded to the customer and the second to the customer and the second defined amount of free fuel awarded to the customer	after dispensing the amount of fuel at no charge, dispensing more fuel to the customer	an indefinite structure and algorithm for determining by a comparison operation that the customer purchased a predefined threshold quantity
rewards in a rewards database (claim 5)	dispensing additional fuel to the customer (claim 6)	means for detecting that the customer purchased a number of non- fuel products or services in a first visit to the store, and that the customer

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	204 Patent: 4:57 –5:12; 1:64 – 2:4; Fig. 10 (illustrating the algorithm); 10:36 – 53.
purchased a number of nonfuel products or services in a second visit to the store." The store. The structure for performing the claimed function is a POS controller (i.e., terminal) or equivalent thereof.	The function is "providing the customer with a first reward entitling the customer to a first amount of fuel at no charge in exchange for purchasing the non-fuel products or services in the first visit, and for providing the customer with a second reward
	Webster's New World Dictionary, Third College Edition –"free" means at no charge or cost 128 Patent: Col 1, ln 34-53 128 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated
	'204 Patent: Col 6, ln 51-col 7, ln 2; Fig 9 (ref # 306, 308, 318, 320) (see transaction dated 1/17/99); Col 9, ln 30-31, 40-43, 46-49; Col 10, ln 41-55
of non-fuel products or services in a first visit to the store and determining by a comparison operation that the customer purchased a predefined threshold quantity of non-fuel products or services in a second visit to the store	indefinite structure and algorithm for providing to the customer, upon detection that the customer purchased the predefined threshold quantity of non-fuel products or services during the first store visit, a first reward entitling the customer to
purchased a number of nonfuel products or services in a second visit to the store (claim 7)	means for providing the customer with a first reward entitling the customer to a first amount of free fuel in exchange for purchasing the non-fuel products or services in the first visit, and for providing the customer with a

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	<u>'204 Patent:</u> Figs. 1, 5, 7;
entitling the customer to a second amount of fuel at no charge in exchange for purchasing the non-fuel products or services in the second visit." The structure for performing the claimed function is a computing center, computer, server or equivalent thereof.	The claimed function is "providing some
February, 2001 (EXCK- 0000426-427, 0000432-433)	
	204 Patent: Col 6, ln 51-col 7,
receive a first defined amount of fuel at no charge to the customer, rather than at a reduced unit price, and for providing to the customer, upon detection that the customer purchased the predefined threshold quantity of non-fuel products or services during the second store visit, a second reward entitling the customer to receive a second defined amount of fuel at no charge to the customer, rather than at a reduced unit price	indefinite structure and algorithm for dispensing at no
second reward entitling the customer to a second amount of free fuel in exchange for purchasing the non-fuel products or services in the second visit (claim 7)	means for dispensing an amount of free

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

3:25–30; 6:40 – 7:31.	.204 Patent: 4:1-12; 8:7-20; 10:29-40.	'204 Patent: Fig. 5; 5:58-63; 3:42-44; 6:40 – 7:27.
fuel at no charge to the customer equal to the total of the first and second amount of free fuel." The structure for performing the claimed function is a fuel dispenser.	No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed: database for storing the rewards	The claimed function is "identifying." The structure for performing the claimed function is a bar code reader, magnetic strip
ln 2	'204 Patent: Col 2, ln 27-49; Fig 9 (ref # 314); Col 9, ln 11-13, 33-36	
charge to the customer, rather than at a reduced unit price, a total amount of fuel equal to the sum of the first and second amounts previously awarded to the customer	a rewards database that stores, for later redemption and as separately identifiable values, both the first defined amount of free fuel awarded to the customer and the second defined amount of free fuel awarded to the customer and the customer and the second defined amount of free fuel awarded to the customer	indefinite structure for identifying the customer during a subsequent fueling transaction
fuel to the customer equal to the total of the first and second amounts of free fuel (claim 7)	a rewards database for storing the first and second rewards (claim 12)	identifying means (claim 12)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	.204 Patent: Figs. 1, 5, 7; 3:25 – 30; 6:65 - 7:2.	.204 Patent: 4:46-55; 3:42- 44; 6:65 – 7:2.		'942 Patent: 1:21-62; 3:24-
reader device, keypad, transponder or any equivalents.	The function is "dispensing more fuel to the customer." The structure for performing the claimed function is a fuel dispenser.	The function is "charging the credit card only for the additional fuel dispensed." The structure for performing the claimed function is a POS controller and any equivalents.		No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary
	'204 Patent: Col 6, ln 51-col 7, ln 2	'204 Patent: Col 6, ln 51-col 7, ln 2		'942 Patent: Col 3, ln 24-37,
	indefinite structure and algorithm for dispensing, in response to reading the customer's credit card, more fuel to the customer after dispensing the amount of fuel at no charge	indefinite structure and algorithm for charging the credit card only for the additional fuel dispensed		increasing use of multiple, different payment cards
	means responsive to reading the credit card for dispensing additional fuel to the customer (claim 13)	means for charging the credit card only for the additional fuel dispensed (claim 13)	'942 Patent	increasing customer use of third-party

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

26; 3:52-54.	45 0	on '942 Patent: iin 3:27-29; 3:37- 41: 4:4-16:
Meaning	If construed: increasing customer use of third-party financial cards issued by more than one third- party issuer	No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning
52-60; Col 4, ln	44-46 <u>'942 Patent File</u> <u>Wrapper:</u> Amendment dated Dec. 13, 2007 (EXCK- 0002618); Amendment dated February 19, 2009 (EXCK- 0002544); Amendment dated Sept. 3, 2009 (EXCK- 0002302, 0002306-2307); Amendment dated Feb. 2, 2010 (EXCK- 0002261); Amendment dated Feb. 2, 2010 (EXCK- 0002261); Amendment dated March 9, 2010 (EXCK- 0002261);	'942 Patent: Fig 1 (ref # 11, 12, 13, 14, 15);
issued to	customers by multiple, different issuers that are unrelated to the fuel merchant	storing in a database a price per unit reduction in a specified
financial cards	issued by a plurality of third-party issuers (claim 1)	storing in a database, a PPU discount associated with

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

46.	'942 Patent: 1:32-58; 3:55- 67; 4:27-31.
If construed: storing in a database a PPU discount associated with one of third-party issuers	No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning
Col 2, ln 20-25; Col 4, ln 26-46; Col 4, ln 63 – col 5, ln 6 Wrapper: Amendment dated Dec 13, 2007 (EXCK- 0002618); Amendment dated March 9, 2010 (EXCK- 0002240); (EXCK0002244) ; Notice of Allowability and Examiner's Amended dated March 27, 2010 (EXCK-	'942 Patent: Fig 1 (ref # 11, 12, 13, 14); Col 3, ln 27-60; Col 4, ln 4-13, 26-46 '942 Patent File
to which a customer is entitled for using a specific issuer's payment card that is promoted, where the issuer is unrelated to the fuel merchant	the price per unit reduction in a specified monetary amount is defined in an affinity-type agreement between a fuel merchant and an issuer that is
party issuers (claim 1)	the PPU discount is defined in affinity-type agreements between a fuel merchant and third-party issuers

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	'942 Patent: 2:4-5; 2:19-22; 3:37-41; 4:4-16; 4:41-46.
	a per unit discount relates to each issuer
Wrapper: Amendment dated Dec 13, 2007 (EXCK- 0002618, 0002622-2623); Amendment dated May 28, 2008 (EXCK- 0002585)	'942 Patent: Col 3, ln 29-37; Col 4, ln 33-47; Col 4, ln 63 – col 5, ln 6 '942 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated February 19, 2009 (EXCK- 0002544); Amendment dated Sept. 3, 2009 (EXCK- 0002302, 0002302,
unrelated to the fuel merchant	each issuer having an independent price per unit reduction in a specified monetary amount associated with the issuer
(claim 1)	each issuer having a PPU discount individually associated therewith (claim 1 and claim 9)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	.942 Patent: 2:4-5; 2:19-22; 3:37-41; 4:4-16; 4:41-46.
	associating the proper per unit discount with each issuer
Amendment dated Feb. 2, 2010 (EXCK- 0002261); Amendment dated March 9, 2010 (EXCK- 0002244)	'942 Patent: Col 3, ln 29-37; Col 4, ln 33-47; Col 4, ln 63 – col 5, ln 6 '942 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated February 19, 2009 (EXCK- 0002544); Amendment dated Sept. 3, 2009 (EXCK- 0002302, 0002306-2307); Amendment dated Feb. 2, 2010 (EXCK- 0002306-2307); Amendment dated Feb. 2, 2010 (EXCK- 0002306-2307); Amendment dated Feb. 2, 2010 (EXCK- 0002261); Amendment
	associating the identity of the third-party financial card issuer with the stored price per unit reduction in a specified monetary amount to which the customer is entitled for using the third-party issuer's financial card, where the third-party issuer is unrelated to the fuel merchant
	associating the identified third-party issuer of the financial card with the stored PPU discount for the fuel (claim 1 and claim 9)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	'942 Patent: 2:9-13; 2:25-28; 3:46-51; 4:17- 25; 4:50-57; 5:10-26.	'942 Patent: 2:9-13; 2:25-28; 3:46-51; 4:17- 25; 4:50-57; 5:10-26.
	the value of the reduction is distributed	Other than "allocating the redeemed discount" (see above), No Construction is Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning
dated March 9, 2010 (EXCK- 0002244)	(See below)	'942 Patent: Col 3, ln 46-51; Col 4, ln 20-25, 54-61; Col 5, ln 21-27; Fig 1 (ref #18); Fig 2 (ref #27, 28, 29); Fig 3 (ref # 37) '942 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Dec 13, 2007 (EXCK- 0002623); Amendment dated May 28,
	Must be construed in the context of the surrounding claim language. The proper construction, in context, is provided in the next disputed phrase.	charging the issuer of the customer's payment card for a portion of the fuel merchant's cost for the redeemed discount
	allocating the redeemed discount (claim 1 and claim 9)	allocating the redeemed discount between the third-party issuer of the financial card and the fuel merchant (claim 1)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	.942 Patent: 1:21-62; 3:24- 26; 3:52-54.
	No Construction Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed: increasing customer use of third-party financial cards issued by more than one third- party issuer
2008 (EXCK- 0002579, 0002585); Amendment dated Feb. 2, 2010 (EXCK- 0002261-2262)	'942 Patent: Col 3, ln 24-37, 52-60; Col 4, ln 44-46 '942 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Dec. 13, 2007 (EXCK- 0002620); Amendment dated February 19, 2009 (EXCK- 0002544); Amendment dated Sept. 3, 2009 (EXCK- 0002304, 0002304, 0002304, 0002306-2307); Amendment dated Feb. 2, 2010 (EXCK-
	increasing use of multiple, different payment cards issued to customers by multiple, different issuers that are unrelated to the fuel merchant
	increasing customer use of a third-party financial card issued by a third- party issuer, wherein the third-party financial card is selected from a group consisting of different issuers of credit cards (Claim 9)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	.942 Patent: 2:4-5; 2:19-21; 4:41-44; 5:4-6.
	No Construction is Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning If construed: database for associating the card issuer with a stored PPU discount for the fuel where the PPU discount is individualized to said issuer
0002261); Amendment dated March 9, 2010 (EXCK- 0002244)	Fig 1 (ref # 11, 12, 13, 14, 15); Fig 3 (ref # 23); Col 2, ln 20-25; Col 4, ln 26-46; Col 4, ln 63 – col 5, ln 6 Wrapper: Amendment dated Dec 13, 2007 (EXCK-0002620); Amendment dated February 19, 2009 (EXCK-0002544); Amendment dated March 9, 2010 (EXCK-0002544); Amendment dated March 9, 2010 (EXCK-0002544); Amendment dated Sept. 3, dated Sept. 3,
	a database that stores the identity of the third-party financial card issuer in association with a stored price per unit reduction in a specified monetary amount to which a customer is entitled for using the third-party issuer's financial card, where the third-party issuer is unrelated to the fuel merchant
	a relationship database for associating the identified issuer of the financial card with a stored PPU discount for the fuel, said PPU discount individualized to said issuer (claim 9)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	'942 Patent: 1:32-58; 2:19- 21; 3:55-67; 4:27-31; 4:41- 44; 5:4-6.
	No Construction is Required – Plain and Ordinary Meaning
2009 (EXCK- 0002304, 0002306-2307); Amendment dated Feb. 2, 2010 (EXCK- 0002261); Notice of Allowability and Examiner's Amended dated March 27, 2010 (EXCK- 0002203)	Fig 1 (ref # 11, 12, 13, 14); Col 3, ln 27-60; Col 4, ln 4-13, 26-46 Wrapper: Amendment dated Dec 13, 2007 (EXCK-0002620, 0002622, 2623); Amendment dated May 28, 2008 (EXCK-2008 (EXCK-2008))
	the stored price per unit reduction in a specified monetary amount is defined in an affinity-type agreement between the fuel merchant and the issuer that is unrelated to the fuel merchant
	the stored PPU discount is defined in an affinity-type agreement between the fuel merchant and the third-party issuer (claim 9)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161

	'942 Patent: Fig. 2; 4:33-44; 62-66.	.942 Patent: Fig. 2; 5:21 – 26; 2:25-28.
	The function is "identifying the third-party issuer of the financial card by analyzing the financial card number." The structure for performing the claimed function is an Island Card Reader or an equivalent thereof.	The claimed function is "the value of the reduction is distributed between the third-party issuer of the financial card and the fuel merchant." The structure for performing the claimed function is a clearing mechanism that interfaces with a redeemed discounts
0002585)	'942 Patent: Col 3, ln 29-37	'942 Patent: Col 3, ln 46-51; Col 4, ln 20-25, 54-61; Col 5, ln 21-27; Fig 1 (ref #18); Fig 2 (ref #27, 28, 29); Fig 3 (ref #37) '942 Patent File Wrapper: Amendment dated Dec 13, 2007 (EXCK- 0002623); Amendment
	indefinite structure and algorithm for determining the identity of a specific issuer of the payment card by examining the Bank Identification Number range of the payment card number	indefinite structure and algorithm for charging the issuer of the customer's payment card for a portion of the fuel merchant's cost for the redeemed discount
	means for identifying the third-party issuer of the financial card by analyzing the financial card number (Claim 9)	means for allocating the redeemed discount between the third-party issuer of the financial card and the fuel merchant (claim 9)

The Kroger Co. et al. v. Excentus Corporation, Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-161